

Objective Assessment of Imaging Methods

Alicia Y. Toledano, Sc.D.
Brown University

Issue 1: Study Design

- Impact of prevalence
 - Esp. in studies in screening population
 - Power then strongly influenced by number of positives – \$\$\$
- Impact of variation: decreases power
 - In prevalence across participating institutions
 - In accuracy across test interpreters

Issue 1: Study Design

- Addressing prevalence
 - Accrue until reach desired n_+ – per site? T+?
 - 2-phase designs: obtain GS on “all” T+, some T-
 - Hierarchical models, Bayesian methods
- Impact of variation
 - In prevalence: hierarchical, Bayesian
 - In accuracy across observers: extend mixed-effect ANOVA models for accuracy; HM, BM

Issue 2: Molecular and Functional Imaging

- Massive amounts of data
 - Quantitative
 - Noisy
 - Differs across modalities – e.g., PET SUV, MR spectra, etc.
 - What are the best summaries?
 - How much summarization and analysis are presented to the interpreter?

Issue 2: Molecular and Functional Imaging

- E.g., Analyzing spectra
 - Choosing important peaks/patterns
 - Decomposition: tissue components
- E.g., Best “SUV-type” measure?
- Relating results to response, prognosis
 - Incorporate covariates
 - Time dimension

High Priority Research Focus Areas

- Methods for study design
 - Hierarchical, Bayesian
 - Implications for analysis
- Methods for analyzing data from molecular and functional imaging
 - Specific to modalities
 - Emphasis on relating imaging results to response to therapy, prognosis